



Innovazione nella diagnostica a supporto della *stewardship* antimicrobica

Valeria Zucchelli

Adriatic Medical Affairs Director

bioMérieux

PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS

What accelerates the most the emergence and spread of AMR?



BIOMÉRIEUX Adapted from O'Neill 2014, Review on Antimicrobial Resistance.

How to Slow Down and Reverse AMR Trend



Adapted from O'Neill 2014, Review on Antimicrobial Resistance.

How to Slow Down and Reverse AMR Trend







80% of clinical products contribute to overcome AMR challenge

+55

Years of microbiology expertise



80% of R&D budget dedicated to AMR research



+55 Years of microbiology expertise 80% of clinical products contribute to overcome AMR challenge

80% of R&D budget dedicated to AMR research Education

AMS is the *file rouge* that links most of bioMérieux products together optimized use of antibiotics at each step of the prescription workflow.



"mPCR tests (also known as 'syndromic' panels) combine tests for numerous pathogens and AMR genes into a single test, and have changed how we diagnose infections, leading to improved patient care and clinical workflow.

These syndromic panels have the ability to impact IC, AMS, and patient outcomes by significantly reducing time to diagnosis and clinical decision making." (*J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; 76 Suppl 3: iii4–iii11)

BIOMÉRIEUX

Syndromic Approach to the Management of Infectious Diseases

Syndromic Testing



Symptom-driven broad grouping of probable pathogens into one, rapid test that **maximizes the chance of getting the right answer in a clinically relevant timeframe.**

Advantages for Patients, Hospitals and Healthcare Systems Provide more timely and effective treatment Limit the use of unnecessary antibiotics Prevent secondary spread of infection Reduce unnecessary tests Better triaging patients in ER Shorten hospital stays

Advanced Syndromic Screening for the Diagnosis of Infections

Multiplex PCR platforms that integrates sample preparation, amplification, detection and analysis into one closed system, that requires 2 min of handson time with a total run time of about 1 hour.





Practical Comparison of the BioFire FilmArray Pneumonia Panel to Routine Diagnostic Methods and Potential Impact on Antimicrobial Stewardship in Adult Hospitalized Patients with Lower Respiratory Tract Infections



[®] Blake W. Buchan,^a Sam Windham,^a Joan-Miquel Balada-Llasat,^b Amy Leber,^c Amanda Harrington,^d Ryan Relich,^e Caitlin Murphy,^f Jennifer Dien Bard,^o Samia Naccache,^a Shira Ronen,^a Amanda Hopp,^a Derya Mahmutoglu,^a Matthew L. Faron,^a Nathan A. Ledeboer,^a Amanda Carroll,^b Hannah Stone,^b Oluseun Akerele,^b Kathy Everhart,^c Andrew Bonwit,^d Christina Kwong,^d Rebecca Buckner,^e Del Warren,^e Randal Fowler,^f Sukantha Chandrasekaran,^h Holly Huse,^h Shelley Campeau,^{h*} Romney Humphries,^{h*} Corrin Graue,ⁱ Angela Huang^{a,j}

A total of 259 BAL specimens were collected from inpatients aged 18 years and older with symptoms of LRTI at 8 US hospitals.

Chart review was conducted to determine type and duration of antibiotic therapy for each subject and assess the potential impact of BioFire Pneumonia Panel on AB utilization.

Panel Menu

BACTERIA Semi-Quantitative Bacteria Acinetobacter calcoaceticus- baumannii complex Enterobacter cloacae complex Escherichia coli Haemophilus influenzae Klebsiella aerogenes Klebsiella aerogenes Klebsiella oxytoca Klebsiella pneumoniae group Moraxella catarrhalis Proteus spp. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Serratia marcescens Staphylococcus aureus Streptococcus agalactiae Streptococcus pneumoniae	ATYPICAL BACTERIA Qualitative Bacteria Chlamydia pneumoniae Legionella pneumophila Mycoplasma pneumoniae VIRUSES Adenovirus Coronavirus Human Metapneumovirus Human Metapneumovirus Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus Influenza A Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) Influenza B Parainfluenza Virus Respiratory Syncytial Virus	ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE GENES Carbapenemases IMP KPC NDM OXA-48-like VIM ESBL CTX-M Methicillin Resistance mecA/C and MREJ (MRSA)	Sample Type: Sputum (including ETA) and BAL (including mini-BAL) CE-marked and US FDA-cleared
---	--	---	--

Practical Comparison of the BioFire FilmArray Pneumonia Panel to Routine Diagnostic Methods and Potential Impact on Antimicrobial Stewardship in Adult Hospitalized Patients with Lower Respiratory Tract Infections



Blake W. Buchan,^a Sam Windham,^a Joan-Miquel Balada-Llasat,^b Amy Leber,^c Amanda Harrington,^d Ryan Relich,^e Caitlin Murphy,^f Jennifer Dien Bard,⁹ Samia Naccache,^a Shira Ronen,^a Amanda Hopp,^a Derya Mahmutoglu,^a Matthew L. Faron,^a Nathan A. Ledeboer,^a Amanda Carroll,^b Hannah Stone,^b Oluseun Akerele,^b Kathy Everhart,^c Andrew Bonwit,^d Christina Kwong,^d Rebecca Buckner,^e Del Warren,^e Randal Fowler,^f Sukantha Chandrasekaran,^h Holly Huse,^h Shelley Campeau,^{h*} Romney Humphries,^{h*} Corrin Graue,ⁱ Angela Huang^{a,j}

A total of 259 BAL specimens were collected from inpatients aged 18 years and older with symptoms of LRTI at 8 US hospitals.

Chart review was conducted to determine type and duration of antibiotic therapy for each subject and assess the potential impact of BioFire Pneumonia Panel on AB utilization.

Potential	No. of	No. of	
modification	antimicrobials	patients (%)	No. of hours
Culture-confirmed de- escalation/discontinuation	206	122 (48.2%)	18,284.07
Culture-confirmed escalation/discontinuation	11	11 (4.3%)	184.66
Culture-discrepant de- escalation/discontinuation*	4	4 (1.6%)	-
Culture-discrepant escalation or continuation**	42	42 (16.6%)	-
No change	-	74 (29.3%)	-
Unable to assess	-	16	-

*Potential antibiotic adjustments based on the PN panel results were considered appropriate only if PN panel and SOC results were in positive or negative agreement.

- Potential for AB adjustments for 179/253 (70.8%) of evaluable patients;
- Most common potential intervention was appropriate antibiotic de-escalation/discontinuation;
- BioFire Pneumonia results enabled >18.000 antibiotic hours saved (avg. 6.2 d/patient, 3.8 d/AB).

Capability and Capacity of Microbiology Labs across Europe

- As many as 70% of clinical decisions are informed by data from the Laboratory (Hallworth, *Ann Clin Biochem* 2011; 48: 487-8), but still low score for
 - Availability of National primary diagnostic and screening testing guidelines (who to test, how to test, and when to test);
- **Diagnostic testing utilization.** In Italy, IVD accounts for **Target 1.3 Diagnostic testing utilisation** 1% of total healthcare Target 1.2 Diagnostic testing guidelines expenditure. ecoc ecoc Capability/capacity for diagnostic testing apability/capacity for diagnostic testing Low (0 - 5.9) Low (0 - 5.9) Intermediate (6.0 - 7.9 ntermediate (6.0 - 7.9) High (8.0 - 10) High /8.0 - 10 Countries not visible in the main map exten Countries not visible in the main map extent Data source: EULabCap on 2018 data

European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases (2021) 40:429-434 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-04031-2

BRIEF REPORT

Chemotherapy (ISAC)

Check for updates How are rapid diagnostic tests for infectious diseases used in clinical

An electronic survey was devised by ISAC to assess the current patterns of use of RDTs around the world, understand whether or not their impact is measured, identify issues for successful implementation and suggest best practice advice on how to introduce new tests.

Stephen Poole¹ · Jennifer Townsend² · Heiman Wertheim³ · Stephen P. Kidd⁴ · Tobias Welte⁵ · Philipp Schuetz⁶ · Charles-Edouard Luyt⁷ · Albertus Beishuizen⁸ · Jens-Ulrik Stæhr Jensen^{9,10} · Juan González del Castillo¹¹ · Mario Plebani¹² · Kordo Saeed^{13,14}

practice: a global survey by the International Society of Antimicrobial

- 13/81 (16%) respondents reported no available RDTs;
- The main barrier reported for not adopting RDTs was financial (64%);
- Only 37% of those with RDTs reported measuring the impacts of their tests in any way.

Fig. 1 Resident countries of specialists responding to survey (dark grey)



Best practices for RDT implementation Table 1

Clinical scenario	Identify what scenario the test will impact. Assess the number of patients that the test will impact per year.			
Test requirements	Determine relevant patient outcome(s) for measuring impact. Consider what turnaround time can usefully influence clinical decision making to achieve tangible improvements in this outcome(s). Ascertain the acceptable sensitivity and specificity, after taking account for likely pre-test probability of disease. Identify a suitable source of funding, and consider ongoing financial requirements for support and reagents.			
Logistics and reporting	 Decide on siting of RDT (laboratory vs POCT). Provide rapid reporting method which integrates with existing reporting mechanisms. Explore need for clinical specialist reporting or result interpretation. If wider public health consideration of RDT target organism(s), ensure results can be readily compiled for appropriate agencies (e.g. influenza or <i>Legionella</i> reporting). Consider need for material for additional studies, such as confirmatory testing internal validation laboratory research and development or strain characterization 			
Quality control and Governance	Decide responsible body governance body. Identify source of suitable QC materials (particular consideration in highly multiplexed RDTs). Instigate regular internal quality assurance programme. Set up external quality assurance programme, preferably with inter-laboratory comparison. Achieve and maintain reliable technical competency with the RDT. Set up regular audit cycles which capture RDT benefit.			



Limitations to Implementation of Rapid Diagnostic Technologies

Low awareness

Mismatch between the costs and benefits

Limited cost-effective evidence

Budget silos – Benefit of using clinically
 effective tests does not accrue to the
 Lab where the cost was incurred.

Existing *reimbursement structures* often disincentivise the uptake of new innovative technology.

BIOMÉRIEUX White Paper on Rapid Diagnostic Technologies to Tackle AMR, Health First Europe, 2017.

"Diagnostic and antimicrobial stewardship are necessary to ensure rapid technologies conserve, rather than consume, health care resources and optimally impact patient care."



 Diagnostic stewardship is needed to implement appropriate tests for the clinical setting and to direct testing toward appropriate patients;

• Antimicrobial stewardship should ensure prompt appropriate clinical action to translate diagnostic faster test results in the Lab into improved outcomes at the bedside.

"A functioning, collaborative partnership between Lab and clinical side is essential for the successful implementation of rapid diagnostic technologies."

BIOMÉRIEUX Messacar K et al. Implementation of Rapid Molecular Infectious Disease Diagnostics: the Role of Diagnostic and Antimicrobial Stewardship. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2017; 55 (3): 715-23. 15

Diagnostic Stewardship Right test Right patient Right time







Figure 3. Bioscore model: tool to support the best diagnostic-therapeutic pathway for the management of the critically ill patient with severe MDR infection. It answers the question "who goes to rapid diagnostics?"

BIOMÉRIEUX

Antimicrobial Stewardship

Right interpretation Right antimicrobial Right time

Antimicrobial stewardship should ensure prompt appropriate clinical action to translate diagnostic faster test results in the Lab into improved outcomes at the bedside.





Figure 21. *Diagnostic/therapeutic decision algorithm* for the treatment of ventilator-associated pneumonia due to KPC, OXA-48 and MβL-producing Enterobacterales.









80% of clinical products contribute to overcome AMR challenge

80% of R&D budget dedicated to AMR research









- Improve awareness about AMR;
- Promote expert-driven behavioral change;
- Raise knowledge about the importance of diagnostics in combatting AMR and their pivotal role within ASP efforts.
 - > 25 3rd party and company-sponsored educational events, in collaboration with Scientific Societies and/or pharma companies;



- Intervisional
 Image: Single statement

 Intervisional
 Image: Single statement

 Intervisional
 Image: Single statement

 Image: Single statement
 Image: Single statement

 Image: Single statement
 Image: Single statement

 Image: Single statement
 Image: Single statement
- > 3.000 attending HCPs.



- 120 hospital-based multi-disciplinary Round Tables;
 - > 1.000 attending HCPs.

BIOMÉRIEUX

Stimulate the dialogue among key healthcare stakeholders (Government, Nat'l institutions, industry and PAGs) about

- The AMR challenge as public health priority, and the value of vaccination and diagnostics in tackling AMR;
- The role of strategic public-private collaborations at national level;
- The role of research and innovation in improving health status of population and Country's competitiveness and growth.



Il Think Tank di The European House - Ambrosetti nato nel 2005 con l'obiettivo di elaborare riflessioni strategiche, stimolare il dialogo sul futuro della sanità in Italia e individuare azioni di miglioramento del nostro sistema sanitario con il fine di tutelare la salute e il benessere delle persone.

diagnostici molecolari, consistente nella loro capacità di testare simultaneamente un ampio spettro di patogeni, massimizzando la possibilità di produrre risultati completi e accurati in un tempo che abbia rilevanza clinica rispetto all'infezione da curare. Al fine di assicurare l'accesso più ampio possibile ai dispositivi IVD innovativi, che dispongono di una diagnosi in poche ore (contro i 2-3 giorni delle strumentazioni tradizionali) e possono ridurre gli eventi avversi degli antibiotici e le resistenze, ma anche la mortalità, e migliorare gli outcome di salute, è indispensabile promuovere uno scambio continuo tra reparto e laboratorio, attraverso lo sviluppo di percorsi che prevedano la completa integrazione tra stewardship diagnostica e antimicrobica.

A fronte di una domanda crescente da parte dei clinici, sempre più frequentemente, all'interno dei programmi ospedalieri integrati di antimicrobial e diagnostic stewardship, sono inserite strategie di companion testing, che mirano al corretto inserimento in terapia di nuove molecole antimicrobiche. In particolare, i companion test sono dispositivi di diagnostica molecolare rapida, il cui uso consente l'applicazione sicura di una terapia antimicrobica: attraverso questa azione preventiva, che subordinando la scelta di una nuova molecola al risultato di un test consente di sfruttare tutto il potenziale della stessa in termini di efficacia, si evitano gli effetti indesiderati o la totale inefficacia a seguito dell'insorgenza di fenomeni di resistenza e/o infezioni.

In questo senso, il comparto della diagnostica in vitro ha apportato un contributo determinante nel contrasto all'AMR¹³. Negli ultimi anni, infatti, l'industria IVD ha sviluppato una serie di tecnologie diagnostiche innovative, a partire dai test rapidi molecolari, che hanno semplificato l'uso delle strumentazioni IVD e reso più rapido il processo di identificazione della potenziale infezione, garantendo una sensibilità analitica equivalente se non superiore a quella della diagnostica tradizionale. Un ulteriore elemento di innovazione è rappresentato dall'approccio sindromico di alcuni test

Per concludere, le innovazioni introdotte nel campo della diagnostica in vitro hanno apportato benefici a tutti i player coinvolti nell'assistenza: dai pazienti, che ricevono cure sempre più appropriate e personalizzate, ai clinici, che anticipano la decisione terapeutica ottimale, riducendo il ricorso a terapie empiriche ad ampio spettro. In aggiunta, anche il management ospedaliero ottiene un risparmio complessivo di risorse, grazie all'ottimizzazione stessa della gestione del paziente, e il personale di laboratorio, in vitrù della semplificazione e della velocizzazione del lavoro, può rendere il servizio più efficiente. Negli ultimi due anni, il progresso tecnologico nei dispositivi medico-diagnostici in vitro è stato anche un argine importante alla diffusione del COVID-19, in particolare grazie allo sviluppo dei test diagnostici (cosiddetti tamponi). 20

Rapporto 2021 Un'iniziativa sostenuta da Amgen, bioMérieux, MSD, Pfizer e Sanofi



Evidence

Encouraging a new approach to the use of diagnostic technologies. What's missing?

- Active Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs across hospitals, with dedicated resources and funding.
- Transformation of the microbiology lab role in ASP into a vital component.
- National Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of different IDs with principles on
 - Rational use of antimicrobial agents;
 - Appropriate adoption of rapid syndromic testing.
- Educational programs around the importance of diagnostics in combatting AMR and their pivotal role within ASP efforts.
- Hospital-based criteria for the adoption of innovative technologies.
- High need for reform and modernization of Laboratory services (i.e. full service, 24/7), in order to better suits the benefits of new technologies.
- Alternative reimbursement systems for rapid diagnostics.
- Evidence-based studies, to assess the health and economic benefits of existing and new diagnostic systems.
- Monitoring program to track implementation, use and outcomes of rapid diagnostic tools.

The right drug for the right bug. AMS is blind without diagnostics.

